Dissecting Therapeutic Resistance to RAF Inhibition in Melanoma by Tumor Genomic Profiling

Abstract. A detailed understanding of the mechanisms by which tumors acquire resistance to targeted anticancer agents should speed the development of treatment strategies with lasting clinical efficacy. RAF inhibition in BRAF-mutant melanoma exemplifies the promise and challenge of many targeted drugs; although response rates are high, resistance invariably develops. Here, we articulate overarching principles of resistance to kinase inhibitors, as well as a translational approach to characterize resistance in the clinical setting through tumor mutation profiling. As a proof of principle, we performed targeted, massively parallel sequencing of 138 cancer genes in a tumor obtained from a patient with melanoma who developed resistance to PLX4032 after an initial dramatic response. The resulting profile identified an activating mutation at codon 121 in the downstream kinase MEK1 that was absent in the corresponding pretreatment tumor. The MEK1C121S mutation was shown to increase kinase activity and confer robust resistance to both RAF and MEK inhibition in vitro. Thus, MEK1C121S or functionally similar mutations are predicted to confer resistance to combined MEK/RAF inhibition. These results provide an instructive framework for assessing mechanisms of acquired resistance to kinase inhibition and illustrate the use of emerging technologies in a manner that may accelerate personalized cancer medicine.

Introduction

The marked expansion of tumor genomic characterization and new drugs in clinical development has enabled a steady increase in the use of molecular knowledge to guide oncology treatment decisions. Concomitantly, a shifting conceptual framework has emerged wherein salient genetic features may prove at least as decisive as anatomic origins to specify the optimal use and likelihood of response to targeted anticancer therapeutics. Protein kinase inhibitors have proved exemplary in this regard; deployment of these agents is commonly guided by knowledge of tumor genetic alterations that dysregulate cellular signaling mechanisms. Well-known examples include imatinib to treat tumors that contain activating mutations in ABL1 or KIT oncogenes,1–4 gefitinib or erlotinib in the setting of EGFR mutations,5–9 and trastuzumab in ERBB2-amplified cancers.10,11 Newer kinase inhibitors targeting BRAF in melanoma and ALK in lung cancer have shown similarly promising results in clinical trials.12–14

Although therapeutics directed against oncogenic kinases may yield dramatic responses and improved survival in cancers driven by a dominant oncogene, such tumors invariably become resistant to these agents.15–27 Thus, elucidating the mechanisms of acquired resistance (or its presence de novo) is essential to the development of new treatment strategies that improve the clinical benefit. In parallel, diagnostic advances that exploit increasingly powerful genomic technologies may be needed to profile individual tumors for the acquisition of specific resistance mechanisms. Ultimately, a comprehensive knowledge of drug resistance coupled with the ability to

Key points

- Although therapeutics directed against oncogenic kinases may yield dramatic responses and improved survival in cancers driven by a dominant oncogene, such tumors invariably become resistant to these agents.
- Elucidating the mechanisms of acquired resistance (or its presence de novo) is essential to the development of new treatment strategies that improve the clinical benefit.
In cancers driven by kinase oncogenes, resistance mechanisms frequently engage the underlying tumor dependency elaborated by oncogenes.

Principles of therapeutic resistance in kinase-driven cancers
Mechanisms of resistance to anticancer agents may include increased drug efflux, modifications within the target protein(s), activation of downstream or redundant (e.g., bypass) pathways, and induction of cell survival pathways. In cancers driven by kinase oncogenes, resistance mechanisms frequently engage the underlying tumor dependency elaborated by these oncogenes. As shown in Figure 1, driver tumor genetic alterations that activate protein kinases may engender an oncogene addiction phenotype, wherein the viability of tumor cells becomes excessively reliant on the cellular signal transduced by the mutated oncogene.

Accordingly, one common mode of resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors involves additional mutations in the target oncogene (Figure 1). The oncogene may alternatively undergo gene amplification, which may override the effects of standard therapeutic dosing. A third mechanism involves upstream mutations that activate or upregulate the target oncoprotein. Thus, characterizing the spectrum of on-target genetic alterations that confer resistance to targeted agents represents an active area of investigation, because this knowledge may facilitate the design of new compounds that show enhanced efficacy against resistant variants.

An alternative resistance mechanism involves elaboration of a bypass signal. In this case, additional genetic or adaptive changes reactivate the downstream pathway in the cancer cell without directly modifying the target oncoprotein (Figure 1). Amplification of the MET oncogene, which has been observed in up to 20% of EGFR-mutant lung cancers treated with erlotinib or gefitinib, provides an instructive example of the bypass phenomenon. The presence of bypass mechanisms may indicate additional drug targets that might be exploited in future salvage regimens or therapeutic combinations. Theoretically, activating mutations that affect signaling proteins situated downstream
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of the target oncogene might also be predicted to confer acquired resistance (Figure 1); however, such a mechanism has not been described in patients to date.

Melanoma as a model for characterizing resistance to kinase inhibition
Recent therapeutic inroads in malignant melanoma highlight both the continuing promise of the driver oncogene-based treatment paradigm and the challenge of resistance to targeted therapeutics. Melanoma is the sixth most common cancer diagnosed in the United States, with 68,130 estimated new cases in 2010.54 Patients with metastatic melanoma exhibit a median survival of only 6 to 15 months. Uncontrolled activity of the MAP kinase (MAPK) pathway engenders an oncogene dependency in the vast majority of melanomas, most commonly through gain-of-function mutations involving codon 600 of the BRAF oncogene (BRAFV600E). More than 50% of metastatic melanomas harbor BRAFV600E mutations,55 which are also recurrent in colon and thyroid cancers.56–58

As noted earlier, clinical trials of drugs targeting mutated BRAF in melanoma have yielded favorable results. In a recent phase I trial of the RAF inhibitor PLX4032, 81% of patients with BRAFV600E melanoma (26 of 32 patients) experienced at least 30% tumor shrinkage by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST), with a complete response in two patients.14 However, resistance to PLX4032 always emerged, after responses that ranged from 2 to 18 months. Mechanisms of acquired resistance to RAF inhibition in melanoma have recently been described17,59–61 but remain poorly understood. Moreover, the clinical application of genomic approaches to diagnose salient resistance mechanisms in situ remains underdeveloped.

Here, we describe an approach to characterize genetic mechanisms of resistance through systematic mutation profiling. Starting with a patient with metastatic melanoma who developed resistance to PLX4032 after an initial dramatic response, we applied a massively parallel sequencing-based mutation profiling platform followed by experimental validation studies to gain new insights into resistance to RAF inhibition. Our results provide a framework for future targeted clinical trials in BRAF-mutant melanoma, illustrate the use of emerging technologies to characterize clinical resistance mechanisms, and inform an integrated strategy for the implementation of personalized cancer medicine.

Methods

Sequencing studies were approved by the Broad Institute/Massachusetts Institute of Technology Institutional Review Board, and written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Exon capture and sequencing

We used standard techniques to extract genomic DNA from the tumor and normal skin specimens. Massively parallel sequencing libraries (Illumina, San Diego, CA) were generated according to the manufacturer’s directions. Hybrid selection was performed as previously described.61 Briefly, we designed and synthesized approximately 7,000 biotinylated RNA baits (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) corresponding to the coding sequence of 138 genes known to undergo somatic genomic alterations in cancer. Genomic DNA libraries were subjected to solution-phase hybrid capture using the RNA baits, followed by massively parallel sequencing. We sequenced 36 bases from both ends of library DNA fragments using an Illumina GAIIx instrument, achieving approximately 60 million purity filtered reads per sample. This yielded target gene haploid coverage of 937-fold and 918-fold from the normal skin and drug-resistant tumor biopsy, respectively. The sequencing data were analyzed for base mutations, insertions, deletions, and copy number alterations. Mutations detected by massively parallel sequencing were validated by experimental validation studies to gain new insights into resistance to RAF inhibition.

Our results provide a framework for future targeted clinical trials in BRAF-mutant melanoma, illustrate the use of emerging technologies to characterize clinical resistance mechanisms, and inform an integrated strategy for the implementation of personalized cancer medicine.
We characterized resistance to RAF inhibition in melanoma tissue obtained from a 38-year-old man who initially presented with a mass in his right axilla. The tumor was refractory to several therapeutic regimens, including clinical trials of ipilimumab and dacarbazine and a combination of carboplatin, paclitaxel, interferon alfa, and interleukin-2. Disease progression included the interim development of numerous subcutaneous metastatic deposits (Figure 2A). Tumor genotyping studies identified the BRAF V600E mutation, and the patient was enrolled onto a clinical trial of the RAF inhibitor PLX4032. A profound clinical response ensued, including near-complete regression of all subcutaneous tumor nodules at 15 weeks on drug (Figure 2B).

After 16 weeks on PLX4032, the patient experienced widespread disease relapse, which by 23 weeks involved most previous sites of visceral and subcutaneous disease (Figure 2C); PLX4032 was discontinued. A core biopsy of a relapsing subcutaneous thoracic nodule was obtained. The patient continued to have rapid disease progression and died several weeks later.

Mutation profiling by targeted, massively parallel sequencing
To determine possible mechanisms of resistance to PLX4032, we isolated genomic DNA from the PLX4032-resistant tumor together with matched normal skin and performed targeted, massively parallel sequencing of all exons corresponding to 138 cancer genes (see Methods). A total of 14 somatic base substitutions (nine missense and five synonymous mutations) were identified (Table 1). Of these, 79% (11 of 14 substitutions) consisted of CG>TA transitions typical of ultraviolet light exposure, a known environmental risk factor in the development of malignant melanoma. No insertions, deletions, or
significant copy number alterations were identified at these loci. As shown in Table 1, missense mutations were seen in the ERBB4, FLT1, MEK1, PTPRD, RET, RUNX1T1, and TERT genes. The BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutation was also detected, as expected, with a mutant allele frequency of 37%. Each of these mutations was confirmed by mass spectrometric genotyping.

- **MEK1** mutation associated with acquired resistance to RAF inhibition

To identify point mutations that may have become enriched during PLX4032 treatment, we used mass spectrometric genotyping to query the original (pretreatment) tumor at these loci. As shown in Table 1, two of the nine missense mutations were undetectable in the original PLX4032-sensitive tumor by this approach. One of these conferred a cysteine-to-serine substitution at codon 121 (C121S) in MEK1, which encodes the kinase immediately downstream from BRAF in the MAPK pathway. The MEK1\textsuperscript{C121S} mutant allele frequency was 16%. The other resistance-associated mutation resulted in a lysine-to-asparagine change at position 710 (K710N) in the RET oncogene, with a mutant allele frequency of 28%. These allele frequencies suggest but do not prove that the mutations were present in a subset of tumor cells in the relapsing specimen. In previous work using small-molecule MEK inhibitors, we discovered specific mutations within or proximal to the N-terminal regulatory domain of MEK1 that conferred modest cross resistance to RAF inhibition, in part through increased MEK kinase activity. In contrast, the RET\textsuperscript{K710V} mutation has not previously been observed, and resides in a domain with no known function. Therefore, it seemed most likely that MEK1\textsuperscript{C121S} engendered resistance to PLX4032 in this tumor.

To test this hypothesis, we introduced the C121S mutation into the sequence of wild-type MEK1 (MEK-WT) and expressed the mutant cDNA in the A375 melanoma cell line, which harbors a BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutation and is highly sensitive to RAF and MEK inhibition.

### TABLE 1 - Somatic alterations in the PLX4032-resistant and PLX4032-sensitive tumor samples in a patient with metastatic melanoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>PLX4032-resistant tumor</th>
<th>PLX4032-sensitive tumor protein change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genomic change</td>
<td>Protein change</td>
<td>Mutation type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>g.chr7:140099605A&gt;T</td>
<td>p.V600E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1</td>
<td>g.chr17:38497417C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.E1172E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1</td>
<td>g.chr17:38499682G&gt;A</td>
<td>p.T4177T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERBB4</td>
<td>g.chr2:211956862C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.G1217E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR4</td>
<td>g.chr5:176454998C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.I527T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT1</td>
<td>g.chr13:27903435C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.A276T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>g.chr15:64516208G&gt;C</td>
<td>p.C121S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFRB</td>
<td>g.chr5:149477517G&gt;A</td>
<td>p.L998L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTPRD</td>
<td>g.chr9:8490976C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.E623K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTPRD</td>
<td>g.chr9:8497431G&gt;A</td>
<td>p.P503L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET</td>
<td>g.chr10:42930184G&gt;C</td>
<td>p.K710N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNX1T1</td>
<td>g.chr8:93052172C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.D477N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERT</td>
<td>g.chr5:1331863C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.E727K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERT</td>
<td>g.chr5:1331864C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.T726T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the exons from the 138 cancer genes were targeted for sequencing by massively parallel sequencing in the PLX4032-resistant sample. Fourteen somatic base substitutions were found. The original (PLX4032-sensitive) sample was queried for the presence of these mutations using mass spectrometric genotyping, demonstrating WT MEK1 and RET.

WT = wild-type.

---

**Key points**

- The allele frequencies suggest but do not prove that the mutations were present in a subset of tumor cells in the relapsing specimen.
- It seemed most likely that MEK1\textsuperscript{C121S} engendered resistance to PLX4032 in this tumor.
- To test this hypothesis, we introduced the C121S mutation into the sequence of wild-type MEK1 (MEK-WT) and expressed the mutant cDNA in the A375 melanoma cell line, which harbors a BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutation and is highly sensitive to RAF and MEK inhibition.
Cells expressing MEK1<sup>C121S</sup> exhibited higher levels of phosphorylated ERK1/2 at baseline and when treated with PLX4720—what closely related to PLX4032—with a concentration required to achieve 50% growth inhibition (GI50) 100-fold higher than that observed in wild-type A375 cells or those expressing MEK-WT (Figure 3C), indicative of enhanced MAPK pathway activation. Moreover, MEK1<sup>C121S</sup> exhibited markedly elevated intrinsic kinase activity compared with MEK-WT (Figure 3E). We also tested the ability of MEK1<sup>C121S</sup> to confer cross resistance to a class of allosteric MEK inhibitors currently in clinical trials. As shown in Figure 3B, cells expressing MEK1<sup>C121S</sup> were also strongly resistant to the MEK inhibitor AZD6244, with a nearly 1,000-fold greater GI50 value than that of control A375 cells.
or cells expressing MEK-DD (which although constitutively active remain sensitive to allosteric inhibition). As with PLX4720, MEK1<sup>C121S</sup> expressing cells also showed increased phosphorylated ERK1/2 relative to wild-type A375 cells or MEK-WT cells when treated with AZD6244 (Figure 3D).

**MEK1 mutagenesis screen reveals additional putative resistance mutations**

To determine the spectrum of potential mutations in MEK1 associated with resistance to RAF inhibition, we used a random mutagenesis screen together with massively parallel sequencing, as described previously.<sup>59</sup> We expressed a saturating cDNA library of MEK1 mutations in A375 cells and cultured them for 4 weeks in the presence of fully inhibitory PLX4720 concentrations (1.5 µmol/L). We recovered and pooled approximately 1,000 resistant clones and characterized these en masse by massively parallel sequencing. A list of the most highly recurrent MEK1 mutations that emerged in the presence of PLX4720 is shown in Figure 4A. (Of note, mutations at C121 were also observed, although they fell below the recurrence threshold used for this experiment).

We mapped these putative resistance mutations within the three-dimensional structure of the full-length MEK1 kinase domain, as shown in Figure 4C. The mutations were largely distinct from those that emerged from a similar screen for resistance to AZD6244<sup>59</sup>; however,

**Key points**

- To determine the spectrum of potential mutations in MEK1 associated with resistance to RAF inhibition, we used a random mutagenesis screen together with massively parallel sequencing, as described previously.

![MEK1 mutations arising from an in vitro mutagenesis screen for resistance to RAF inhibition.](image)

**A)** Recurrent mutations across the MEK1 coding sequence from a PLX4720 mutagenesis screen (based on approximately 1,000 sequenced clones) are shown. The corresponding amino acid substitutions from high-scoring mutations (> 0.4%) are indicated. **B** and **C** Locations of selected resistance alleles are indicated within the crystal structure of MEK1. Adenosine triphosphate and an allosteric, arylamine MEK inhibitor (PD318088) are shown. Helix C and helix A are indicated. Mutations found to confer clinical resistance to RAF inhibition (C121S) and MEK inhibition (P124L) are indicated. Candidate mutations found in the mutagenesis screen are shown in grey. **B** and **C** show alternative views of the same crystal structure, with a 45-degree and slight inferior oblique rotation. **bp** = base pair.
Key points

- Using targeted, massively parallel sequencing, we identified an activating MEK1 mutation in a patient who developed resistance to a selective RAF inhibitor.
- The MEK1C121S mutation results in increased kinase activity and confers resistance to both RAF and MEK inhibition in vitro.
- Taken together, these results indicate one likely mechanism by which this patient’s tumor became resistant to RAF inhibition.
- The MEK1C121S allele was not detected in the pretreatment biopsy sample by mass spectrometric genotyping; we speculate that it was present at low levels and underwent selection during the course of treatment.
- Indeed, studies of BCR-ABL, EGFR, and MET suggest that resistance mutations are commonly present at low levels in treatment-naive tumors and undergo clonal selection during treatment.
- Genetic mechanisms of acquired resistance to targeted kinase inhibitors typically fall within one of the following two categories: mutations affecting the target kinase, or alterations of other genes within the target signaling pathway that may compensate for or bypass target oncoprotein inhibition.

Discussion

Using targeted, massively parallel sequencing, we identified an activating MEK1 mutation in a patient who developed resistance to a selective RAF inhibitor. Although the tumor was initially highly responsive to PLX4032 treatment, rapid disease progression ensued after 4 months of treatment. The MEK1C121S mutation results in increased kinase activity and confers resistance to both RAF and MEK inhibition in vitro. Taken together, these results indicate one likely mechanism by which this patient’s tumor became resistant to RAF inhibition.

The MEK1C121S allele was not detected in the pretreatment biopsy sample by mass spectrometric genotyping; we speculate that it was present at low levels and underwent selection during the course of treatment. Indeed, studies of BCR-ABL, EGFR, and MET suggest that resistance mutations are commonly present at low levels in treatment-naive tumors and undergo clonal selection during treatment.\(^{19,51,67-71}\) Conceivably, this phenomenon might also help explain the development of simultaneous pan-resistance seen in this patient. Metastatic lesions have been shown to cross seed via circulating tumor cells, which may in principle distribute small numbers of resistant cells across many metastatic foci.\(^{18}\) In such a case, treatment-refractory clones could emerge at multiple sites simultaneously, resulting in a widespread resistance phenotype. Alternatively, multiple resistance mechanisms (genetic, epigenetic, and/or others) may have arisen independently in this patient.

- Emerging mechanisms of resistance to kinase oncogene inhibition

As noted earlier, genetic mechanisms of acquired resistance to targeted kinase inhibitors typically fall within one of the following two categories: mutations affecting the target kinase, or alterations of other genes within the target signaling pathway that may compensate for or bypass target oncoprotein inhibition (Figure 1 and Table 2). Resistance mutations in the target kinase commonly populate the catalytic domain, where they sterically impede drug binding while maintaining (or in some cases increasing) catalytic activity. This mechanism has been well described for several tyrosine kinases, including ABL, KIT, PDGFRα (imatinib), EGFR (erlotinib or gefitinib), FLT3 (PKC412), and ALK (crizotinib).\(^{18-22,38,40-47}\) Of particular importance are the gatekeeper mutations that occur in a conserved threonine residue near the kinase active site.\(^{19-21,45}\) These mutations substitute a larger hydrophobic residue for the conserved threonine, thereby increasing the kinase affinity for adenosine triphosphate (ATP). Because most kinase inhibitors in clinical use are ATP-competitive agents, the increased ATP affinity that results from gatekeeper substitutions provides a kinetic means of drug resistance.
In addition to gatekeeper mutations, other types of second mutations in the target oncogene have also been reported, albeit less commonly. Some of these mutations destabilize the autoinhibitory (inactive) protein conformation bound by certain targeted drugs. Alternatively, gene amplification of the target kinase oncogene may override the ability of the drug to fully extinguish oncoprotein activity. Amplification as a means for resistance has been described for BCR-ABL and KIT in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia and GI stromal tumor (GIST), respectively, as well as for EGFR in lung cancer cell lines. Genetic alterations upstream of the target oncogene may provide an additional mechanism; these events cause resistance through upregulation or activation of the target oncoproteins. Toward this end, some \textit{BRAF}-mutant melanoma tumors and cell lines that are resistant to RAF inhibition have been found to harbor \textit{NRAS} mutations. Furthermore, melanoma cell lines cultured in vitro in the presence of MEK inhibitors may exhibit \textit{BRAF} amplification. In the PLX4032-resistant melanoma examined here, we did not observe additional mutations or amplifications involving the \textit{BRAF} or \textit{NRAS} loci.

Bypass resistance mechanisms may involve genomic alterations that dysregulate a cellular effector acting in parallel to the drug target. As described in the introduction, the \textit{MET} oncogene, which is amplified in approximately 20% of EGFR-mutant lung cancers after treatment with erlotinib or gefitinib, can activate PI3K/AKT and ERK signaling even in the presence of EGFR inhibition. Other bypass resistance mechanisms, including activation of IGF-1R/IRS-1 signaling and signaling via the MET ligand HGF, have also been described in cell lines with acquired resistance to inhibition by erlotinib, gefitinib, and/or trastuzumab. In melanoma, several bypass mechanisms resulting in resistance to PLX4032 have been recently described. Elevated expression of the kinase COT (MAP3K8) drives resistance to PLX4032 in melanoma cell lines and, apparently, in some tumor samples. \textit{CRAF} activation also results in resistance to PLX4032 in cell lines. Both \textit{COT} and \textit{CRAF} dysregulation reactivate the MAPK pathway. Another recently described bypass mechanism—upregulation of PDGFR\textbeta—may activate a MAPK-independent pathway. Receptor tyrosine kinases such as AXL, \textit{ERBB2}, and \textit{IGF1R} may also confer resistance to RAF inhibition in a MAPK-independent manner, at least in vitro. In the current study, we observed mutations in the receptor tyrosine kinases \textit{ERBB4} and \textit{RET}. Although \textit{ERBB4} mutations have been implicated in the pathogenesis of melanoma, the presence of the \textit{ERBB4} mutation in both pretreatment and postrelapse tumor DNA argues against a specific role in acquired resistance in this patient. Similarly, our in vitro analysis suggests that the \textit{RET} mutation observed here is unlikely to contribute a major mechanism of resistance, although an additive role in vivo cannot be excluded.

The emergence of a somatic \textit{MEK1} mutation in the setting of clinical RAF inhibition represents the first reported example, to our knowledge, of an acquired resistance mechanism in which the tumor develops an activating mutation downstream of the target kinase. We previously described a \textit{MEK1} mutation involving codon 124 (\textit{MEK1}\textsubscript{P124L}) arising in a patient with metastatic melanoma that developed resistance to the MEK inhibitor AZD6244. Like \textit{MEK1}\textsubscript{C121S}, this mutation was proximal to the C helix and conferred resistance to AZD6244 as well as a modest cross resistance to PLX4720 (Figure 4B). The key difference here is that the \textit{MEK1}\textsubscript{C121S} mutation arose in the setting of RAF inhibition rather than MEK inhibition. Moreover, the pharmacologic and biochemical effect of \textit{MEK1}\textsubscript{C121S} was substantially greater than that of \textit{MEK1}\textsubscript{P124L}. Although downstream resistance mutations have also been described in clinical and preclinical studies of de novo resistance to trastuzumab.

**Key points**

- Because most kinase inhibitors in clinical use are ATP-competitive agents, the increased ATP affinity that results from gatekeeper substitutions provides a kinetic means of drug resistance.

- In addition to gatekeeper mutations, other types of second mutations in the target oncogene have also been reported, albeit less commonly. Some of these mutations destabilize the autoinhibitory (inactive) protein conformation bound by certain targeted drugs.

- Bypass resistance mechanisms may involve genomic alterations that dysregulate a cellular effector acting in parallel to the drug target.

- In melanoma, several bypass mechanisms resulting in resistance to PLX4032 have been recently described.

- In the current study, we observed mutations in the receptor tyrosine kinases \textit{ERBB4} and \textit{RET}.

- The emergence of a somatic \textit{MEK1} mutation in the setting of clinical RAF inhibition represents the first reported example, to our knowledge, of an acquired resistance mechanism in which the tumor develops an activating mutation downstream of the target kinase.
Mechanisms of acquired resistance often have important therapeutic implications. Overcoming resistance mutations in kinase oncogenes may sometimes be achieved simply by increasing the dose of the targeted agent. Toward this end, several studies have demonstrated increased efficacy of elevated imatinib doses in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia who experience relapse at standard dose levels.\(^{87-92}\) Similarly, one phase III study of imatinib in GIST showed that 33% of patients who experienced progression on 400 mg of imatinib experienced a second response and/or disease stabilization when the dose was increased to 800 mg.\(^93\) Dose escalation might also be an option in patients with advanced sarcomas who develop resistance to imatinib.\(^{94}\)

Table 2 - Exemplary mechanisms of acquired resistance to kinase inhibitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted agent</th>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Acquired resistance via secondary mutation, amplification, or activation of target</th>
<th>Acquired resistance via bypass</th>
<th>Acquired resistance via downstream mutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>ABL</td>
<td>T315I, Y253F/H, E255K/V, ABL amplification, T6701, V654A, D816A/V/H, Y823D, KIT, PDGFRA, T674I</td>
<td>IGF1R amplification, AXL overexpression(^*^)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gefitinib or erlotinib</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>T790M, D761Y, L747S, T854A</td>
<td>MET amplification, HGF overexpression(^<em>^), IGFBP3 loss(^</em>^)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trastuzumab</td>
<td>HER2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapatinib</td>
<td>HER2/EGFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC412</td>
<td>FLT3/FGFR</td>
<td>N676K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZD6044</td>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>MEK1 P124L, BRAF amplification(^*^)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLX4032</td>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>NRAS Q61K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crizotinib</td>
<td>ALK/MET</td>
<td>L1196M, C1156Y, F1174L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mechanisms that have been described in vitro.
† Nongenetic mechanisms.

GF1R = insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor; HGF = hepatocyte growth factor; IGFBP3 = insulin-like growth factor receptor binding protein-3; PDGF\(_R\beta\) = platelet-derived growth factor \(\beta\); HER2 = human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.
A recent phase II study of neratinib also acquired resistance to PF-0099804. For example, in vitro studies efficacy against the gatekeeper mutation described earlier, some erlotinib. have developed resistance to gefitinib or phase I and phase II trials suggest that these gefitinib-resistant mutant EGFR. Results from allowing them to inhibit erlotinib- and agents covalently bind Cys-797 of EGFR, BIBW-2992, and PF-00299804.

New, irreversible inhibitors of EGFR undergoing evaluation in preclinical and clinical studies include neratinib (HKI-272), BIBW-2992, and PF-00299804. These agents covalently bind Cys-797 of EGFR, allowing them to inhibit erlotinib- and gefitinib-resistant mutant EGFR. Results from phase I and phase II trials suggest that these agents are reasonably well tolerated and may be efficacious in patients whose tumors have developed resistance to gefitinib or erlotinib. As with the ABL1 gatekeeper mutation described earlier, some of these experimental drugs may have limited efficacy against the \( \text{EGFR}^{T790M} \) resistance mutation. For example, in vitro studies demonstrated that \( \text{EGFR}^{T790M} \) conferred acquired resistance to PF-0099804.

A recent phase II study of neratinib also found no benefit in patients with T790M mutations, although dose-limiting toxicity (diarrhea) may have precluded sufficient target inhibition in this case. However, neratinib did show evidence of benefit in the setting of a rare G719X EGFR mutation, emphasizing the potential importance of detailed characterization of resistance mechanisms in the clinical setting.

Unlike the aforementioned on-target resistance mechanisms where newer inhibitors may be substituted for the index agents, overriding bypass resistance mechanisms may require combinatorial treatment strategies. Here, the goal is to intercept both the primary oncogene dependency and the bypass mechanism simultaneously. The progression of EGFR-mutant lung cancers that develop resistance to gefitinib or erlotinib through MET amplification may be inhibited by dual treatment with EGFR and MET kinase inhibitors. This combination is being investigated in clinical trials of patients with acquired resistance to gefitinib and erlotinib. Similarly, the combination of gefitinib and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor inhibitors has been shown to inhibit the growth of gefitinib-resistant tumor cells and is under clinical investigation. Concomitant inhibition of downstream pathway reactivation is also being examined in clinical trials. For example, PI3K pathway inhibitors are being tested in combination with EGFR inhibitors, HER2 inhibitors, and inhibitors of the MAPK pathway to overcome both primary and acquired resistance.

The discovery of resistance-associated \( \text{MEK1} \) mutations in the setting of \( \text{BRAF} \)-mutant melanoma predicts that salvage therapies intercepting the MAPK pathway at the level of MEK—or even further downstream—might prove beneficial. Accordingly, the addition of a second MAPK pathway inhibitor to a RAF inhibitor may be required to overcome the effect of the \( \text{MEK1} \) resistance mutations and perhaps other bypass mechanisms that reactivate MAPK signaling. More generally,
Key points

- This study illustrates how knowledge of acquired resistance to kinase inhibitors may guide the conception and deployment of new agents and combination therapies.

- The routine implementation of new genomic technologies in the clinical diagnostic arena, such as the targeted, massively parallel sequencing approach used here, may greatly enable the study of acquired resistance to targeted agents.

- Our results illuminate a clinical mechanism of acquired resistance to RAF inhibition that may inform rational therapeutic approaches to target this salient tumor dependency.

- Profiling BRAF-mutant melanomas for genetic alterations affecting MEK and possibly other downstream effectors when resistance emerges may diagnose the mechanism of resistance and specify optimal salvage therapy.

- Challenges to diagnosis and management of targeted therapeutic resistance

  This study illustrates how knowledge of acquired resistance to kinase inhibitors may guide the conception and deployment of new agents and combination therapies. At the same time, several challenges limit the systematic characterization of resistance mechanisms in the clinical and translational settings. Timely acquisition of high-quality tumor material constitutes one such challenge. Although tissue procurement at the time of relapse would greatly aid the systematic understanding of acquired resistance, such material is only occasionally available in current clinical protocols. Circumventing this barrier will require dedicated multidisciplinary teams and patients to implement rigorous scholarly efforts in this area.

  In addition, systematic genetic profiling of cancers remains underdeveloped, even in the research setting. Robust approaches applicable to routine clinical material are needed to procure the salient genetic information from each tumor both before treatment and after relapse. The routine implementation of new genomic technologies in the clinical diagnostic arena, such as the targeted, massively parallel sequencing approach used here, may greatly enable the study of acquired resistance to targeted agents.

  Another challenge involves discerning which of the multiple somatic genetic changes that may be observed exerts pivotal resistance mechanisms in any given tumor. This challenge is additionally confounded by the possibility that multiple resistance mechanisms may conceivably occur at multiple tumor foci in the same patient. Here, preclinical models remain crucial as companions to experimental avenues. Indeed, multiple resistance mechanisms to imatinib, gefitinib, erlotinib, and PCK412 were first predicted from studies in model systems before they were verified in the clinical setting.

  Our work affirms the importance of such systems in melanoma as well. In particular, the dual implementation of in vitro and patient-centered characterization (e.g., using massively parallel sequencing) can be highly enabling by streamlining the identification and affirmation of clinically relevant resistance mutations. In addition, dedicated studies that query resistance mechanisms in multiple relapsing tumor sites within a given patient (to the extent possible in the clinical arena) may provide critical information pertaining to the clinical complexity of tumor drug resistance.

  Finally, the field of oncology still remains limited in its ability to test rational drug combinations in the clinical trial setting once resistance has developed, even when the mechanisms of resistance are known. As we become increasingly able to genetically characterize individual patients’ tumors, there will be a pressing need to develop more efficient ways to test combined therapeutic strategies in defined molecular contexts.
Conclusions

Understanding resistance to targeted anticancer agents has gained increasing importance in light of the success of multiple kinase inhibitors. The experience with RAF inhibition in melanoma offers an example of both the challenges and opportunities inherent in translational studies of cancer drug resistance. Our results illuminate a clinical mechanism of acquired resistance to RAF inhibition that may inform rational therapeutic approaches to target this salient tumor dependency. Profiling BRAF-mutant melanomas for genetic alterations affecting MEK and possibly other downstream effectors when resistance emerges may diagnose the mechanism of resistance and specify optimal salvage therapy.

This work also highlights the power of systematic tumor genomic profiling both before treatment and after relapse to stratify patients based on tumor genotype and to identify clinically relevant resistance mechanisms. In turn, characterizing resistance mechanisms should allow the development of novel therapeutic strategies that achieve more durable responses in cancers driven by a dominant oncogene. Together, these approaches may offer an unprecedented ability to identify druggable genetic changes associated with tumor progression and thereby speed the advent of personalized cancer medicine.
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